



ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Ecology and Evolution

Open Access

WILEY

Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers for the threatened African endemic tree species *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir.

Benziwa Nathalie Johnson¹ | Marie Luce Akossiwoa Quashie¹ | Gilles Chaix^{2,3} |
Letizia Camus-Kulandaivelu^{2,3} | Kossi Adjonou¹ | Kossi Novinyo Segla¹ | Adzo
Dzifa Kokutse¹ | Christine Ouinsavi⁴ | Babou André Bationo⁵ |
Habou Rabiou⁶ | Kouami Kokou¹ | Hélène Vignes^{2,3}

¹Laboratoire de Recherche Forestière (LRF),
Université de Lomé, Lomé, Togo

²CIRAD, UMR AGAP, Montpellier, France

³AGAP, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAE,
Institut Agro, Montpellier, France

⁴Laboratoire d'Etudes et de Recherches
Forestières, Faculté d'Agronomie, Université
de Parakou, Parakou, Bénin

⁵Institut de l'environnement et de
recherches agricoles (INERA), Burkina Faso

⁶Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques (FSA),
Université de Diffa, Diffa, Niger

Correspondence

Benziwa Nathalie Johnson, Laboratoire de
Recherche Forestière (LRF), Université de
Lomé, 01BP 1515, Lomé, Togo.
Email: benziwa.johnson@gmail.com

Funding Information This research was
financially supported by Sud Expert Plantes
Développement Durable (SEP2D) program
[AAP1-45], Agence Universitaire de la
Francophonie (AUF) [DRAO-1448-2019-
02], Programme d'Appui à la Lutte contre le
Changement Climatique: PALCC -AMCC+
[DCI-ENV/2016/39289 Devis programme
multi-annuel n°DCI-ENV-2017/387-416],
Service de Coopération et d'Action
Culturelle (SCAC) and Campus France,
CIRAD for the "Actions Incitatives-Soutien
aux doctorants" Program, and genotyping
platform of Agap unit managed by Pierre
Mournet.

Abstract

To study the genetic diversity and structure of the forest species *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir., seventeen polymorphic nuclear microsatellite markers were isolated and characterized, using next-generation sequencing. Three hundred and sixty-five (365) individuals were analyzed within fifteen (15) West African populations. The number of alleles for these loci varied from 4 to 30, and the heterozygosity varied from 0.23 to 0.82. The seventeen (17) primers designed here will allow characterizing the genetic diversity of this threaten species on its natural stands and to better understand the population differentiation mechanisms shaping it.

KEYWORDS

African tree species, genetic diversity, next-generation sequencing (NGS), nuclear microsatellites, *Pterocarpus erinaceus*

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

1 | INTRODUCTION

Pterocarpus erinaceus Poir. (Lamarck & Poiret, 1823) is commonly known as African rosewood. This important tree belonging to the Fabaceae family is native from the Guinean forest-savannah mosaic ecoregion and has been reported from Senegal to Cameroon (Adjonou et al., 2019; Arbonnier, 2004; Giffard, 1974). Stands of this Leguminous species are especially targeted for timber and wood fuelwood production, but this species has also several medicinal uses (Fontodji et al., 2011; Kokou et al., 2009; Segla et al., 2015). Recent scientific investigations on *P. erinaceus* international trade have highlighted a considerable increase in export volume of its wood from West Africa countries for Asia, particularly China (Dumenu, 2019; Lawson, 2015). As a consequence of its overexploitation, the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora classified the species as threatened (CITES, 2016) and it has become the focus of conservation concern in African countries. Because of its high-quality wood, but also as a drought and fire-resistant plant species with traditional medicine uses in sub Saharan Africa (Duvall, 2008; Karou et al., 2003; Ouedraogo et al., 2012), *P. erinaceus* is a good model species for the study of genetic diversity in *Pterocarpus* genus.

Understanding the dynamics of *P. erinaceus* populations' evolution in West Africa in order to establish appropriate and efficient production and conservation strategies requires the study of its genetic diversity and structure on its natural stands. Among various molecular tools used to assess plant genetic diversity, microsatellite simple sequence repeats (SSR) markers are the most widely employed because they are codominant and possess high levels of polymorphism and stability (De et al., 2017; Morgante & Olivieri, 1993).

So far, only few studies have addressed the question of genetic diversity and population structure with the *Pterocarpus* pantropical genus. Muller et al. (2006) have developed a set of eight (8) microsatellite markers for *Pterocarpus officinalis* Jacq., an important tree species of the Caribbean wetland forest. More recently, in order to facilitate population identification and biodiversity protection, Hong et al. (2020) have sequenced and analyzed the whole chloroplast genomes of five *Pterocarpus* species: *P. macrocarpus*, *P. santalinus*, *P. indicus*, *P. pedatus*, and *P. marsupium*. This study has also led to the description of chloroplast SSR. The present study describes a new SSR set designed for *P. erinaceus* and its use to describe the genetic diversity of three hundred and sixty-five (365) individual trees originating from West Africa. Owing to the laborious and expensive microsatellites development by conventional methods (Oliveira et al., 2006; Pimentel et al., 2018), we chose to use next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies. The major advantage of this approach is the identification of a large number of SSR allowed by the production an important volume of sequence data (Rico et al., 2013; Senan et al., 2014; Vieira et al., 2016).

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

We sampled nine to thirty adult trees in 15 populations (Table 1) with a total of 365 trees in four countries of West Africa which are Benin, Burkina Faso, Niger, and Togo (Figure 1).

Freshly collected leaves were dried in a coffee filter containing 10 g Silica gel. Each filter containing sample was put in a plastic zip bag for transport to the laboratory. Our genomic library was constructed using DNA of *P. erinaceus* samples from twelve randomly

selected individuals among populations (Table 1).

2.1 | DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA extraction was performed with a solution of alkyltrimethylammonium bromide (MATAB) using twenty milligrams of dried leaves from each tree sample. Extraction protocol used derived from Bousquet et al. (1990) methodology.

The quality of the genomic extracted DNA was controlled on a 1% agarose gel, and quantification was done by Hoechst assay using fluoroskan (Fluoroskan™ Microplate Fluorometer).


2.2 | Cross-amplification test of *P. officinalis* microsatellites markers on *P. erinaceus*

Cross-amplification tests using the SSR developed by Muller et al. (2006) on *P. officinalis* were performed using *P. erinaceus* individuals from different sampling sites except for Tamou reserve (Niger). Loci were amplified by PCR in a 96-well plate using 10-μL volume reaction containing 20 ng of DNA, 1X reaction buffer B (Mg²⁺ free; 0.8 M Tris-HCl, 0.2 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.2% w/v Tween-20; Solis BioDyne), 0.08 μM of the M13-labeled primer, 0.1 μM of each primer forward fluorescent (FAM, NED, PET, and VIC) and the primer reverse, 0.1 μM of M13 fluorescent primer, 2 mM of MgCl₂, 200 μM dNTPs, 0.4X Q-solution (Facilitates amplification of GC-rich templates; Qiagen®), 0.04 mg/ml of BSA solution (Bovine Serum Albumine; Qiagen®), and 0.06 U/μL of *Taq* DNA polymerase. PCR running conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min followed by 36 cycles each at 92°C in 30 s, 1 min at 52°C, 45 s at 72°C, and with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. Electropherograms were analyzed, and allele sizes were determined using GeneMapper® software version 4.1 using GeneScan 600 LIZ as a size standard (Applied Biosystems). Four out of the eight primers failed to amplify the target loci (mPoCIRE01, mPoCIRE04, mPoCIRH02, and mPoCIRE09), and two showed little polymorphism

(Table 2).

These eight loci were considered noninformative and showed insufficient variability to be used for genetic studies of *P. erinaceus*.

FIGURE 1 Map of study area and location of sampling sites in the four West Africa countries. Burkina Faso: SA, Sarya; TI, Tiogo. Togo: OK, Oti-Kéran; FM, Fazao-Malfakassa; AB, Abdoulaye; AK, Plateau Akposso; TO, Togodo. Benin: KA, Koussoukpa; AD, Adakplamè; HO, Houin; BA, Bassila; PE, Pénessoulou; WA, Bénin Parc W. Niger: NI, Niger Parc W; NN, Tamou



2.3 | Construction of the DNA library and validation

The Westburg NGS DNA Library PrepKit was used to prepare the DNA library with a pooled DNA extract from twelve samples. The library was built following the manufacturer instructions. Using this kit, enzymatic fragmentation allows for obtaining DNA fragment sizes from 200 to 600 bp (suggested by the manufacturer) depending on the reaction time and the amount of DNA input. DNA was fragmented in an Eppendorf Mastercycler[®] nexus using 35 µl volume of pooled DNA (1 µg) to which 5 µl of ER/A-tailing buffer (10X) and 10 µl of ER/A-tailing enzyme mix (5X) were added. The fragmentation program used in the thermocycler included a first step of precooling at 4°C for 5 min followed by the second step of fragmentation with three fragmentation times, 1 min at 4°C, 10 min at 32°C, and 30 min at 65°C.

The sample was then ligated with Illumina adapters. The recommended Illumina indexes in addition to the Westburg kit come from the following reference: TruSeq RNA Single Indexes Set A 12 Indexes (ref 20,020,492). Ligation reaction was performed in a PCR tube containing 45 µl of fragmented DNA to which was added successively 20 µl of ligation buffer (5X), 10 µl of DNA ligase, 10 µl of water, and 2.5 µl of DNA illumina adapter. Incubation of the ligation reaction was performed at 20°C for 15 min.

Purification on magnetic beads (Agencourt AMPure XP beads -A63881-, Beckman Coulter) was performed before and after PCR. The amplification conditions included initial denaturation at 98°C for

2 min, followed by 7 cycles of 98°C for 20 s, annealing at 60°C for 35 s, elongation at 72°C for 30 s, and a final 1 min elongation step at 72°C.

The quality of DNA library was controlled using an Agilent 4,200 TapeStation with a screen tape D5000, and the fragments sized between 100 and 600 pb mainly, with an average of 260 bp. The DNA library (fragments) was quantified using the Takara kit (638,324) on a qPCR machine (LightCycler[®] 480 Real-Time PCR System, Roche Life Science).

2.4 | Sequencing

MiSeq system Illumina sequencer DNA was used to perform DNA sequencing on the genotyping platform at CIRAD-Montpellier. A 500 cycles NANO V2 cartridge Illumina (2 x 250 pb) was used to sequence the library.

2.5 | Design and choice of primers

A total of 800,000 reads were generated for *P. erinaceus* DNA library. Development of optimized and streamlined microsatellites was based on a bioinformatics Galaxy pipeline and with following tools: FASTQ Groomer tool, Filter FASTQ tool, and ABYSS parallel assembler (Simpson et al., 2009). The MISA MicroSatellite identification

| Country | Sampling sites | Number of trees sampled | GPS | |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Niger | Parc W (NI) ** | 27 | 12°14'31.8" N | 2°17'33.4" E |
| | Tamou (NN) ** | 14 | 12°35'55.1" N | 2°20'34.3" E |
| Bénin | Koussoukpa (KA) * | 25 | 7°03'27.8" N | 2°17'02.1" E |
| | Bassila (BA) * | 24 | 8°57'58.8" N | 1°39'01.9" E |
| | Pénessoulou (PE) ** | 27 | 9°18'01.7" N | 1°23'40.1" E |
| | Parc W (WA) | 24 | 7°38'33.8" N | 2°19'22.0" E |
| | Adakplamè (AD) ** | 27 | 7°38'33.8" N | 2°33'02.3" E |
| | Houin (HO) * | 24 | 8°07'34.5" N | 2°17'39.0" E |
| Togo | Oti-Kéran (OK) ** | 25 | 10°06'12.2" N | 0°41'32.5" E |
| | Fazao-Malfakassa (FM) * | 30 | 8°30'31.7" N | 0°51'56.3" E |
| | Abdoulaye (AB) ** | 30 | 8°40'30.2" N | 1°21'02.2" E |
| | Plateau Akposso (AK) | 23 | 7°35'27.6" N | 1°01'29.9" E |
| | Togodo (TO) * | 30 | 6°51'38.6" N | 1°30'45.4" E |
| Burkina Faso | Sarya (SA) ** | 9 | 12°15'57" N | 2°08'47" E |
| | Tiogo (TI) ** | 25 | 12°17'22" N | 2°47'12" E |
| Total | | 365 | | |

*and ** geographic origin of individuals selected for genomic library construction.

**geographic origin of individuals selected for the first screening.

tool (Thiel, 2003) and primer modeling software Primer3 (Whitehead Institute) were used for the identification and design of microsatellite primers in the generated draft assembly. A data matrix containing all the microsatellite primers was obtained as output.

Among the 38,715 single sequence repeats identified, primers were designed for 11,718 sequence repeats of which 3,530 were dinucleotide repeats, 2,970 trinucleotide repeats, 2,847 tetranucleotide repeats, 1,001 pentanucleotide repeats, 525 hexanucleotide repeats, and 844 contained complex SSR motifs.

Thirty microsatellites were identified and selected for initial screening on the basis of the type and size of the repeat motif, as well as the annealing temperature as previously described Muller et al. (2006). Therefore, dinucleotide and trinucleotide SSRs with a repeat motif of 15 to 30 bp were randomly selected from those generated. The selected primers amplified SSR motifs with a minimum of five repetitions. The annealing temperature varied from 54 to 56°C, including that used by Muller et al. (2006) for *P. officinalis* (54°C). This first test was performed on an ABI 3500XL sequencer (Life technologies) using genomic DNA extract from eight individuals selected from different countries (Table 1).

An M13 tailed primer (5'-CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC-3'), allowing detection of fluorescence, was added to the forward primers. Each PCR amplification was performed in a 96-well plate using

10-μL volume reaction containing 20 ng of DNA, 1X reaction buffer B (Mg²⁺ free; 0.8 M Tris-HCl, 0.2 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.2% w/v Tween-20; Solis BioDyne), 0.08 μM of the M13-labeled primer, 0.1 μM of each primer forward fluorescent (FAM, NED, PET, and VIC) and the primer reverse, 0.1 μM of M13 fluorescent primer, 2 mM of MgCl₂, 200 μM dNTPs, 0.4X Solution "S" (additive solution that facilitates amplification of difficult templates; Solis BioDyne), and 0.05 U/μL of Taq DNA polymerase. PCR running conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min followed by 36 cycles each at 92°C in 30 s, 1 min at 52°C, 45 s at 72°C, and with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min.

The analysis of electrophoregrams with GeneMapper® software version 4.1 using GeneScan 600 LIZ as a size standard (Applied Biosystems) allowed determining allele sizes. Among the 30 primer pairs tested, 17 were selected. Indeed, we eliminated primers with profiles that were difficult to read on GeneMapper®, or with no or little polymorphism. The 17 selected primers are shown in Table 4 and were used for screening the remaining individuals in order to calculate genetic parameters.

Genetic parameters including alleles' number per locus, number of private alleles, observed heterozygosity (Ho), and expected heterozygosity (He) were computed using GenAlEx software version 6.0 (Peakall & Smouse, 2012). Deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg

TABLE 1 Characteristics of sampled sites for *Pterocarpus erinaceus*: country location, name of sampling sites, number of trees sampled per site, and GPS coordinates

TABLE 2 Results of cross-amplification with *P. officinalis* microsatellites markers on *P. erinaceus* populations from Togo

| | Niger | | Bénin | | Togo | | | | | Burkina Faso | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--|------------|---------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Parc W | | Koussoukpa | Bassila | Pénessoulou | Parc W | Adakplamé | Houin | Oti-Kéran | Fazao-M | Abdoulaye | Plateau Akposso | Togodo | Saria | Tiogo |
| mPoCIRE01 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | NA | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Number of alleles | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| mPoCIRE04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | NA | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Number of alleles | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| mPoCIRF08* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | 96.3% | | 92.0% | 95.8% | 96.3% | 91.7% | 40.7% | 87.5% | 100.0% | 55.2% | 26.7% | 82.6% | 77.4% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Number of alleles | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| mPoCIRH02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | NA | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Number of alleles | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| mPoCIRE09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | NA | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Number of alleles | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| mPoCIRH08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | 77.8% | | 88.0% | 75.0% | 63.0% | 70.8% | 29.6% | 50.0% | 100.0% | 55.2% | 30.0% | 82.6% | 74.2% | 100.0% | 92.0% |
| Number of alleles | 9 | | 8 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 8 |
| mPoCIRH07* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | 96.3% | | 88.0% | 87.5% | 85.2% | 87.5% | 55.6% | 91.7% | 100.0% | 69.0% | 30.0% | 87.0% | 77.4% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Number of alleles | 3 | | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| mPoCIRF03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amplification percentage | 85.2% | | 68.0% | 91.7% | 40.7% | 66.7% | 29.6% | 62.5% | 72.0% | 37.9% | 20.0% | 65.2% | 51.6% | 66.7% | 88.0% |
| Number of alleles | 9 | | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 |

*loci with little polymorphism; NA = no amplification

equilibrium (HWE) was measured for each locus by chi-squared tests and p-value significance assessed in the context of multiple testing with a Bonferroni correction procedure (Rice, 1989). Significant linkage disequilibrium was rated among these loci by using GENETIX software version 4.05 (Belkhir et al., 1996). MICRO-CHECKER software version 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004) was used to check for the null alleles in microsatellite data.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Contrary to Hong et al. (2020) results in chloroplast genomes of five *Pterocarpus* species, among the single sequence repeats identified there was no single-nucleotide repeats motifs accounted. The observed proportion of dinucleotide, trinucleotide, and tetranucleotide were respectively 30.1%, 25.3%, and 24.3%, while the tetranucleotide proportion was 2.27%. 4.5% of nucleotides were hexanucleotide repeats, and 7.2% were complex SSR motifs.

A total of 237 alleles were identified for the 17 locus on the 365 characterized trees, with each locus having from 4 to 30 alleles (mean of 13.9 alleles per locus) (Table 4). Table 3 shows the total numbers of specific alleles for each population (private alleles) across all 17 loci. A total of 34 private alleles have been identified out of the 15 populations. Their distribution varied from 0 allele (BA, AB, SA populations) to 13 alleles (OK population). The majority of them (22/34 alleles) was characterized by an allelic frequency smaller than 5%. The 12 remaining private alleles have a frequency varying from 5% to 12%. Mean values for the expected heterozygosity (H_e) varied from 0.42 to 0.65 (0.57 ± 0.02) and from 0.41 to 0.65 (0.55 ± 0.02) for observed heterozygosity

(H_o) for the population screened (Table 3). Evidence of significant linkage disequilibrium was found for 12 out of 136 possible SSR pairwise combinations after Bonferroni corrections. Significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for 14 out of 17 loci were recorded after Bonferroni corrections, and presence of null alleles was suggested for all loci excepted for mPeCIR_D2 and mPeCIR_T3.

Two populations of Togo, Oti-Kéran and Fazao-Malfakassa, and the population of Pénélessou in Benin have a particularly high rate of private alleles scoring respectively to 11.5%, 5.6%, and 5.1%. On the other hand, the populations of Abdoulaye (Togo), Bassila (Bénin), and Sarya (Burkina Faso) have no private allele. Observed heterozygosity generally follows the trend of private alleles level with high values for Oti-Kéran (0.55), Fazao-Malfakassa (0.65), and Pénélessou (0.63) compared to Abdoulaye (0.41) and Bassila (0.50) (Table 3). From a conservation perspective and on the basis of genetic diversity level, Oti-Kéran, Fazao-Malfakassa, and Pénélessou are the most interesting populations sampled in this study. These three populations notably come from protected sites (reserves and parks) located in the Sudanian area. On the contrary, Abdoulaye's samples come from the community forest exploited by the surrounding local populations following the principles of integrated and participatory management. The type forest management undergone by the tree populations may not be however the only explanative factor of the observed diversity levels. Studied populations all belong to natural stands distributed according to the climatic gradient of the Sahelian, Sudanian, and Guinean zones and exhibit high morphological variation. Johnson et al. (2020) identified 3 morphotypes for *P. erinaceus* in Togo characterized by phenotypic specificity related to

TABLE 3 Private alleles and heterozygosity levels across populations

| Country | Sampling sites | Total number of alleles | Number of private alleles | Percentage of private alleles | Observed heterozygosity (H_o) | Expected heterozygosity (H_e) |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Niger | Parc W (NI) | 100 | 2 | 2% | 0.58 ± 0.22 | 0.61 ± 0.19 |
| | Tamou (NN) | 79 | 3 | 3.7% | 0.56 ± 0.24 | 0.57 ± 0.18 |
| Bénin | Koussoukpa (KA) | 85 | 3 | 3.5% | 0.52 ± 0.33 | 0.52 ± 0.29 |
| | Bassila (BA) | 77 | 0 | 0% | 0.50 ± 0.28 | 0.48 ± 0.25 |
| | Pénessoulou (PE) | 123 | 7 | 5.6% | 0.63 ± 0.23 | 0.65 ± 0.22 |
| | Parc W (WA) | 96 | 2 | 2.1% | 0.56 ± 0.22 | 0.62 ± 0.21 |
| | Adakplamè (AD) | 83 | 1 | 1.2% | 0.50 ± 0.29 | 0.52 ± 0.27 |
| | Houin (HO) | 86 | 2 | 2.3% | 0.49 ± 0.29 | 0.54 ± 0.28 |
| Togo | Oti-Kéran (OK) | 113 | 13 | 11.5% | 0.55 ± 0.28 | 0.65 ± 0.26 |
| | Fazao-Malfakassa (FM) | 116 | 6 | 5.1% | 0.65 ± 0.23 | 0.64 ± 0.23 |
| | Abdoulaye (AB) | 43 | 0 | 0% | 0.41 ± 0.38 | 0.42 ± 0.23 |
| | Plateau Akposso (AK) | 89 | 1 | 1.1% | 0.56 ± 0.26 | 0.57 ± 0.23 |
| | Togodo (TO) | 91 | 3 | 3.2% | 0.52 ± 0.30 | 0.53 ± 0.28 |
| Burkina Faso | Sarya (SA) | 78 | 0 | 0% | 0.58 ± 0.26 | 0.62 ± 0.20 |
| | Tiogo (TI) | 75 | 3 | 4% | 0.61 ± 0.20 | 0.60 ± 0.16 |

TABLE 4 Characteristics of 17 microsatellite primers designed for *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir

| Primer name | Primer sequences (5'–3') | Repeat motif | Allele size | TA°C | Na | Ho | He | p-value |
|-------------|--|---------------------|-------------|------|----|------|------|----------|
| mPeCIR_D1 | F: TTTCTTCTACTTTCCTTTCCC R: AAGCAGGCTCAAGAGAAGA | (CT) ₁₅ | 109–124 | 54.4 | 16 | 0.70 | 0.74 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_D2 | F: AACATGCAAGCAAAGCA R: AAGGTGGAGCTAAAGAAGGT | (AG) ₁₃ | 107–123 | 54.6 | 12 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_D4 | F: TCGGTTTTGGTCTTTGTG R: CAGACCGTTGGGAAGAA | (TC) ₁₄ | 152–167 | 55.4 | 16 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_D5 | F: TGTCCTCGTGAAGAAAGG R: AAGCAGGCTCAAGAGAAGA | (GA) ₁₀ | 102–159 | 55.3 | 10 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_D7 | F: CGTCAGCCTCCAATCTC R: CGCTTGATTTGGTCCTC | (GA) ₁₄ | 189–203 | 54.9 | 20 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.002*** |
| mPeCIR_D8 | F: CTCATGGGCACAGAACAA R: GATGGGCTTCACAGCAA | (TA) ₁₁ | 177–205 | 56.4 | 30 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.008*** |
| mPeCIR_D9 | F: TTTCCCGGTGTCAAGAA R: GACACACGCACATACAGAGA | (TC) ₁₆ | 188–208 | 55.8 | 20 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.002*** |
| mPeCIR_D10 | F: TCACCAAAACATGCACAA R: GCTCATGCTTAGCCCC | (TG) ₁₄ | 214–230 | 55.1 | 11 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_D11 | F: GGGTTAGAGTTTGAATGGG R: GCCTTCCTCAGCACTATTT | (AG) ₁₇ | 221–239 | 54.5 | 22 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_D12 | F: AACCTGCCCATCCATTT R: TACACTGGGTCGTTGGG | (TC) ₁₆ | 238–253 | 56.1 | 10 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_D14 | F: CAGCACTGGCACCAAC R: CACCACACCGCTTAATGT | (AG) ₁₃ | 280–307 | 55.1 | 29 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_T1 | F: TCCATTGGGGTATCTATGTG R: CCTCAAGGGTGTGTTTGTGT | (ATC) ₆ | 115–121 | 55.7 | 4 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_T2 | F: ATCAGGGGCTCTTCTC R: TCATTGTTTCTGCAAATCCT | (TCT) ₈ | 121–130 | 56.0 | 9 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.000*** |
| mPeCIR_T3 | F: GGCCATTCTTCATGTGTTT GGAGATGGGTGAGAGTGAA | (CTT) ₈ | 99–146 | 55.9 | 9 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.114ns |
| mPeCIR_T4 | F: CAGGAGGGGTGGTGG R: GCATCCTAGCCCGATT | (GAA) ₆ | 146–152 | 56.3 | 4 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.308ns |
| mPeCIR_T5 | F: AGACCCGAACCTGTCCC R: TGCCAGTGTGTGATGGA | (TTA) ₁₁ | 145–167 | 55.7 | 11 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 0.459ns |
| mPeCIR_T15 | F: CCCTCATCAAGAAGAACCA R: CTTGCATCACCACCCTC | (ACA) ₇ | 277–295 | 56.0 | 4 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.000*** |

Note: He, expected heterozygosity under Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; Ho, observed heterozygosity; Na, number of individuals; TA°C, annealing temperature. p-values for the Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium test, significance threshold adjusted using sequential Bonferroni correction.

* $p \leq .05$, *** $p \leq .001$, ns = not significant.

a climate gradient. On the basis of descriptors related to leaves, fruits, and seeds, a morphotype adapted to the dry Sudanian zone was described in Oti-Kéran and Fazao-Malfakassa sites, a morphotype adapted to the semiwet Sudano-Guinean zone was described in Abdoulaye site, and a third morphotype adapted to the wet Guinean zone was described in Akposso and Togodo sites. From this point of view, the level of genetic diversity could also be related to ecotypes but further work is requested to investigate this hypothesis. Finally, we would advise to account both

for genetic diversity level and for ecotype specificity in future conservation programs for *P. erinaceus*.

This set of 17 specific primers of *P. erinaceus* would serve to study the genetic diversity of this species in West Africa. While the SSR set developed by Muller et al. (2006) on *P. officinalis* does not show a proper amplification and/ or polymorphism level on *P. erinaceus*, the transferability of the SSR markers set presented in this study on other *Pterocarpus* species should be further investigated.

4 | CONCLUSION

In this study, thirty (30) microsatellites primers were developed based on *P. erinaceus* populations from three different African countries by using NGS (Illumina MiSeq sequencing technology). Seventeen (17) of these nuclear markers showed a high level of polymorphism in fifteen (15) locations, thus providing the first set of microsatellite markers for *P. erinaceus*. These microsatellite markers will be useful for characterizing genetic diversity and analyzing genetic structure for *P. erinaceus* populations in order to contribute to the implementation of optimal management and conservation plans for this species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Prof. Christine OUINSAVI head of the Forest Studies and Research Laboratory from the University of Parakou in Bénin, Prof Ali MAHAMANE and Dr Habou RABIOU from the University of Diffa in Niger, Dr Babou André BATIONO from Burkina Faso, and their laboratory teams and collaborators for providing plant material from these countries.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Benziwa Nathalie Johnson: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal); Resources (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-original draft (lead); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Marie Luce Akossiwoa Quashie:** Conceptualization (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (supporting); Methodology (equal); Project administration (lead); Supervision (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-original draft (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Gilles Chaix:** Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (supporting); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal); Resources (equal); Supervision (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Letizia Camus-Kulandaivelu:** Formal analysis (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Kossi Adjonou:** Conceptualization (supporting); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (supporting); Visualization (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Kossi Novinyo Segla:** Conceptualization (supporting); Investigation (equal); Visualization (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Adzo Dzifa Kokutse:** Conceptualization (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Christine Ouinsavi:** Resources (equal); Writing-review & editing (supporting). **Babou André Bationo:** Resources (equal); Writing-review & editing (supporting). **Habou Rabiou:** Resources (equal); Writing-review & editing (supporting). **Kouami Kokou:** Conceptualization (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Hélène Vignes:** Data curation (equal); Formal analysis

(equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Resources (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal).

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The data have been deposited with links to BioProject accession number PRJNA604893 in the NCBI BioProject database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/>). 17 Primers designed and evaluated: end of text (Table 4).

ORCID

Benziwa Nathalie Johnson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0018-8085>

REFERENCES

- Adjonou, K., Houetchegnon, T., Rabiou, H., Kossi, S. N., Abotsi, E. K., Johnson, B. N., Alaba, P., Ouinsavi, C. A. N., Quashie, A. M. L., & Kokutse, A. D. (2019). Challenges of conservation and sustainable management of African rosewood (*Pterocarpus erinaceus*) in West Africa. *Natural Resources Management and Biological Sciences, (Intech Open)*, 29, <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.88796>
- Arbonnier, M. (2004). *Arbres, arbustes et lianes des zones sèches d'Afrique de l'Ouest* (Éditions CIRAD, Margraf Publishers GMBH, MNHN). 579 p.
- Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N., & Bonhomme, F. (1996). GENETIX 4.05, logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Retrieved from <http://www.Genetix.univ-montp2.fr/genetix/genetix.htm>
- Bousquet, J., Simon, L., & Lalonde, M. (1990). DNA amplification from vegetative and sexual tissues of trees using polymerase chain reaction. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 20, 254–257. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x90-037>
- CITES. (2016). Convention sur le commerce international des espèces de faune et de flore sauvages menacées d'extinction. Dix-septième session de la Conférence des Parties Johannesburg (Afrique du Sud).
- De, J., Zhu, W., Liu, T., Wang, Z., & Zhong, Y. (2017). Development of microsatellite markers using Illumina MiSeq sequencing to characterize *Ephedra Gerardiana* (Ephedraceae) 1. *Applications in Plant Sciences*, 5, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1600104>
- Dumenu, W. K. (2019). Assessing the impact of felling/export ban and CITES designation on exploitation of African rosewood (*Pterocarpus erinaceus*). *Biological Conservation*, 236, 124–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.05.044>
- Duval, C. S. (2008). *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir. [Internet] Record from PROTA4U. In D. Louppe, A. A. Oteng-Amoako, & M. Brink (Eds.), PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa/Ressources végétales de l'Afrique tropicale) (pp 478–482).
- Fontodji, J. K., Atsri, H., Adjonou, K., Radji, A. R., Kokutse, A. D., Nuto, Y., & Kokou, K. (2011). Impact of charcoal production on biodiversity in Togo (West Africa). In *Togo (West Africa), The Importance of Biological Interactions in the Study of Biodiversity, Jordi Lopez Pujol, IntechOpen*, (pp. 215–230). <https://doi.org/10.5772/22969>. Available from: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/the-importance-of-biological-interactions-in-the-study-of-biodiversity/impact-of-charcoal-production-on-biodiversity-in-togo-west-africa>
- Giffard, P. L. (1974). L'Arbre dans le paysage sénégalais: sylviculture en zone tropicale sèche. Dakar : GERDAT--Centre technique forestier tropical. 452 p.
- Hong, Z., Wu, Z., Zhao, K., Yang, Z., Zhang, N., Guo, J., Tembrock, L. R., & Xu, D. (2020). Comparative analyses of five complete chloroplast genomes from the genus *Pterocarpus* (Fabaceae). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21, 3758, 18 p.

- Johnson, B. N., Quashie, M. L. A., Adjonou, K., Segla, K. N., Kokutse, A. D., & Kokou, K. (2020). Morphological variability of *pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir. in Togo. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2020, 16p.
- Karou, D., Dicko, M. H., Sanon, S., Simpore, J., & Traore, A. S. (2003). Antimalarial activity of *Sida acuta* Burm. f. (Malvaceae) and *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir. (Fabaceae). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 89, 291–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2003.09.010>
- Kokou, K., Nuto, Y., & Honan, A. (2009). Impact of charcoal production on woody plant species in West Africa: A case study in Togo. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 4, 881–893.
- Lamarck, J. B. A. P., & Poiret, J. L. M. (1823). *Tableau encyclopédique et méthodique des trois règnes de la nature. Botanique.* : Panckoucke.
- Lawson, S. (2015). *The illegal rosewood boom in West Africa; How Chinese demand is driving conflict, corruption and human rights abuses.* Presentation to Chatham House Illegal Logging Stakeholder Update Meeting, 25th June 2015.
- Morgante, M., & Olivieri, A. M. (1993). PCR-amplified microsatellites as markers in plant genetics. *The Plant Journal*, 3, 175–182. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1993.t01-9-00999.x>
- Muller, F., Vaillant, A., Bâ, A., & Bouvet, J.-M. (2006). Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in *Pterocarpus officinalis* Jacq. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 6, 462–464.
- Oliveira, E. J., Pádua, J. G., Zucchi, M. I., Vencovsky, R., & Vieira, M. L. C. (2006). Origin, evolution and genome distribution of microsatellites. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 29, 294–307. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572006000200018>
- Ouedraogo, N., Sawadogo, W., Tibiri, A., Bayet, C., Lompo, L., Hay, E. A., Koudou, J., Dijoux, M.-G., & Guissoux, P. I. (2012). Pharmacological properties and related constituents of stem bark of *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir. (Fabaceae). *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*, 5, 46–51.
- Peakall, R., & Smouse, P. E. (2012). GenAEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 2537–2539. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts460>
- Pimentel, J. S. M., Carmo, A. O., Rosse, I. C., Martins, A. P. V., Ludwig, S., Facchin, S., Pereira, A. H., Brandão-Dias, P. F. P., Abreu, N. L., & Kalapothakis, E. (2018). High-throughput sequencing strategy for microsatellite genotyping using Neotropical fish as a model. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00073>
- Rice, W. R. (1989). Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, 43, 223–225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1989.tb04220.x>
- Rico, C., Normandeau, E., Dion-Côté, A.-M., Rico, M. I., Côté, G., & Bernatchez, L. (2013). Combining next-generation sequencing and online databases for microsatellite development in non-model organisms. *Scientific Reports*, 3(1), <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03376>
- Segla, K. N., Adjonou, K., Radji, A. R., Kokutse, A. D., Kokou, K., Rabiou, H., Kamana, P., Bationo, B. A., & Ali, M. (2015). Importance socio-économique de *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir. au Togo. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, 11(23), 199–217.
- Senan, S., Kizhakayil, D., Sasikumar, B., & Sheeja, T. E. (2014). Methods for development of microsatellite markers: An overview. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 6, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.15835/nsb619199>
- Simpson, J. T., Wong, K., Jackman, S. D., Schein, J. E., Jones, S. J., & Birol, I. (2009). ABySS: A parallel assembler for short read sequence data. *Genome Research*, 19, 1117–1123. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.089532.108>
- Thiel, T. (2003). MISA—Microsatellite identification tool. Retrieved from <http://Pgrc.lpk-Gatersleben.de/Misa/> [Accessed 17 June 2019].
- Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W. F., Wills, D. P., & Shipley, P. (2004). MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 4(3), 535–538. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x>
- Vieira, M. L. C., Santini, L., Diniz, A. L., & de Munhoz, C. F. (2016). Microsatellite markers: What they mean and why they are so useful. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 39, 312–328. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4685-GMB-2016-0027>

How to cite this article: Johnson BN, Quashie MLA, Chaix G, et al. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers for the threatened African endemic tree species *Pterocarpus erinaceus* Poir. *Ecol Evol*. 2020;10:13403–13411. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6944>